THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

1617

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

SCOTT AND KATHRYN KASEBURG, et al.

Plaintiffs,

1 mmm

v.

PORT OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation; PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC., a Washington for profit corporation and KING COUNTY, a home rule charter county, and CENTRAL PUGET SOUND REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY, a municipal corporation,

Defendants.

NO. 14-cv-00784-JCC

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to this Court's Minute Order of March 16, 2016 (Dkt. #162), the parties provide their positions as to whether undecided issues remain in the case:

Plaintiffs' Position

Plaintiffs believe that there are outstanding issues in this case despite this Court's rulings thus far. Specifically, and by way of example, Plaintiffs' claims for quiet title still remain and several Defendants also have counterclaims for quiet title. In addition, other corollary issues remain within all of the quiet title claims relative to the Kittinger deed, as opposed to the Lake

JOINT STATUS REPORT (14-cv-00784-JCC) -1

Washington Belt Line deed and the State of Washington condemnation, and who owns the fee in the corridor if the Plaintiffs do not. The Defendants agree that two of the three source conveyances to the railroad only conveyed an easement. King County's position is that the Kittinger source conveyance conveyed fee title to the railroad. Plaintiffs disagree and believe that all three source conveyances only conveyed an easement to the railroad and that Plaintiffs own the underlying fee title in the former railroad corridor. In addition, Plaintiffs are willing to attempt to pursue an interlocutory appeal at this time, if the Defendants and the Court agree, which would necessarily require a stay of the quiet title claims for all parties, but the Defendants have not agreed to pursue that alternative path.

Defendants' Positions

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Plaintiffs do not allege that PSE has claimed any fee interest in the corridor. Instead, Plaintiffs claim that PSE's utility easement has clouded Plaintiffs' alleged fee ownership interests in the corridor and sought to invalidate PSE's utility easement. (*See* Dkt. #83 at pp. 47-50.) In turn, PSE filed a quiet title counterclaim to establish the validity of its utility easement within the corridor: "Pursuant to the South Rail Line Easement, PSE holds a valid and enforceable easement, over, along, above, and below the BNSF rail corridor." (Dkt. #86 at p. 10.) In other words, the dispute between Plaintiffs and PSE is limited to the validity of PSE's utility easement.

PSE moved for summary judgment, arguing that "PSE's utility easement is valid and enforceable, and title should be quieted in PSE's favor as a matter of law." (Dkt. #143 at p. 1.) For purposes of that motion, PSE assumed—without conceding—that the plaintiffs were the fee owners of the corridor and argued that PSE's utility easement was a permissible incidental use of the preserved railroad easements. (*Id.* at pp. 4-5.) The Court granted PSE's motion, which resolves all claims between Plaintiffs and PSE.

If the plaintiffs do not own the corridor in fee, *additional* grounds would exist upon which any reviewing court could affirm the Court's order granting summary judgment to

PSE. Accordingly, PSE submits that an interlocutory appeal would be an inefficient use of judicial resources.

<u>Port of Seattle</u>. The Port of Seattle brought no counter- or cross-claims and believes there are no remaining issues pertaining to it. The Port may seek dismissal by way of an agreed dismissal order or motion.

King County. Plaintiffs and King County have outstanding quiet title claims against each other, which require application of the Court's existing rulings, related doctrines, and final adjudication of the Kittinger deed. Although factual issues precluded a grant of summary judgment for plaintiffs on the Kittinger deed, the County believes that no factual disputes preclude summary judgment in the County's favor. Based on recently obtained discovery, the County is also closely examining plaintiffs' Article III standing due to application of Washington's centerline presumption and Kittinger deed issues. The County believes that all remaining issues can be determined through a final summary judgment motion, which is imminent. Because plaintiffs have expressed a plan to appeal the Court's existing rulings, resolution of the standing issue is particularly important to continued proceedings in this matter.

Sound Transit. The Court has already ruled that light rail use on, above, and below ground is consistent with the preserved BNSF easements. *See* Dkt No. 142 (Order Granting Sound Transit's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment). The only relief remaining for Sound Transit is for the Court to affirmatively quiet title in Sound Transit's favor stating that consistent with the Court's prior rulings Sound Transit may construct and operate light rail pursuant to its High Capacity Transportation Easement, which plaintiffs acknowledge Sound Transit obtained as part of the multi-party transaction – *see*, *e.g.*, Dkt. No. 81-1 (3rd Amended Complaint, ¶ 118). Sound Transit will file a summary judgment motion seeking this relief if the parties cannot otherwise agree to a stipulation to that effect.

1	DATED this 28 th day of March, 2016.
2	CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP
	Bys/ Timothy G. Leyh
3	Timothy G. Leyh, WSBA #14853
4	Bys/ Randall Thomsen
7	Randall Thomsen, WSBA #25310
5	Bys/Kristin Ballinger
6	Kristin Ballinger, WSBA #28253
	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400
7	Seattle, WA 98104
8	Telephone: (206) 623-1700
0	Fax: (206) 623-8717
9	timl@calfoharrigan.com
	randallt@calfoharrigan.com
10	kristinb@calfoharrigan.com
11	Attorneys for Defendant Port of Seattle
12	
13	STEWART WALD & McCULLEY LLC
14	By s/ Thomas S. Stewart
1.	Thomas S. Stewart
15	By s/ Elizabeth McCulley
16	Elizabeth McCulley
10	2100 Central, Suite 22
17	Kansas City, MO 64108
	Telephone: (816) 303-1500
18	stewart@swm.legal
19	mcculley@swm.legal
	Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20	
21	Bys/ Thomas E. Hornish
22	Thomas E. Hornish, WSBA #47606
23	1237 E. Lake Sammamish Shore Ln. S.I
	Sammamish, WA 98075
24	Telephone: (858) 775-5678
25	thornish67@gmail.com
	Attorney for Plaintiffs

JOINT STATUS REPORT (14-cv-00784-JCC) -4

LAW OFFICES

CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP

999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104

TEL, (206) 623-1700 FAX, (206) 623-8717

1	
2	RIDELL WILLIAMS P.S.
3	Bys/ Gavin W. Skok
4	Gavin W. Skok, WSBA #29766
4	By <u>s/ James E. Breitenbucher</u> James E. Breitenbucher, WSBA #27670
5	By s/ Courtney Seim
6	Courtney Seim, WSBA #35352
	By s/Bryan J. Case Drawn J. Case WSDA #41791
7	Bryan J. Case, WSBA #41781
8	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4500
	Seattle, Washington 98154-1192
9	Telephone: (206) 389-1731
10	gskok@riddellwilliams.com
10	jbreitenbucher@riddellwilliams.com
11	cseim@riddellwilliams.com
	bcase@riddellwilliams.com
12	Attorneys for Puget Sound Energy
13	
14	
15	KING COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS
	By <u>s/ David J. Hackett</u>
16	David J. Hackett, WSBA#21236
17	By s/ Andrew W. Marcuse
	Andrew W. Marcuse, WSBA#27552
18	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys
19	King County
.	500 Fourth Ave., 9 th Floor
20	Seattle, WA 98104
21	Telephone: (206) 296-8820 david.hackett@kingcounty.gov
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CORR CRONIN MICHAELSON BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP
2	Bys/ David Freeburg
3	David Freeburg, WSBA #48935 By s/ Emily J. Harris
4	Emily J. Harris, WSBA #35763
5	Special Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys
6	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900
	Seattle, WA 98154 Telephone: (206) 625-8600
7	dfreeburg@corrcronin.com
8	Attorneys for King County
9	
10	Bys/ Desmond L. Brown
11	Desmond L. Brown, WSBA #16232 Bys/Loren G. Armstrong
12	Loren G. Armstrong, WSBA #33068
13	Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority
14	401 S. Jackson Street
15	Seattle, WA 98104-2826 Telephone: (206) 398-5212
16	desmond.brown@soundtransit.org loren.armstrong@soundtransit.org
17	
18	Attorneys for Central Puget Sound Regional Transit
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on March 28, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Thomas E. Hornish WSBA 47606 thornish67@gmail.com Thomas S. Stewart Elizabeth McCulley stewart@swm.legal mcculley@swm.legal Gavin W. Skok, WSBA#29766 James E. Brietenbucher, WSBA#27670 Courtney Seim, WSBA#35352 Bryan J. Case, WSBA#41781 gskok@riddellwilliams.com jbreitenbucher@riddellwilliams.com cseim@riddellwilliams.com bcase@riddellwilliams.com David J. Hackett, WSBA#21236 Andrew W. Marcuse, WSBA#27552 david.hackett@kingcounty.gov andrew.marcuse@kingcounty.gov Emily J. Harris, WSBA #35763 David Freeburg, WSBA #48935 eharris@corrcronin.com dfreeburg@corrcronin.com Desmond L. Brown, WSBA #16232 Loren G. Armstrong, WSBA #33068 desmond.brown@soundtransit.org loren.armstrong@soundtransit.org DATED this 28th day of March, 2016. s/Lynn Van Eyck

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25